

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,440	01/25/2002		Uri Mahlab	MAHLAB=2	MAHLAB=2 3860	
1444	7590	01/25/2006		EXAM	INER	
		IMARK, P.L.L.C.	BELLO, AGUSTIN			
624 NINTH	STREET.	NW				
SUITE 300	- · · · <b>,</b>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20001-5303	2633			

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		a Co					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/936,440	MAHLAB, URI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Agustin Bello	2633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 O</u>	<u>ctober 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>45-64,66-73,76-79,82-84 and 86</u> is/ar 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>45-64,66-73,76-79,82-84 and 86</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/936,440

Art Unit: 2633

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 44-47, 53, 55-56, 61, 63-64, 66, 72-73, 76-79, 82-84, and 86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fatehi (U.S. Patent No. 6,600,583).

Regarding claim 45, Fatehi teaches a method for routing optical data signals using one or more optical addressing links (reference numeral 101-107 in Figure 1) for carrying optical addressing signals (reference letters T in Figure 1), wherein a combination of said optical addressing signals (reference letters T in Figure 1) provides addressing information required for establishing an address for routing the optical data signals and wherein said optical data signals are transmitted via an optical data link (reference numeral 101-107 in Figure 1) and wherein said one or more optical addressing links is at least partially different form said optical data link (e.g. the addressing link is established via a subcarrier frequency and is therefore at least partially different from the optical data link).

Regarding claim 46, 55, and 66, Fatehi teaches generating first optical addressing signals by converting signals identifying a destination address into corresponding optical addressing signals (reference letters T in Figure 1); transmitting said optical addressing signals over one or more optical addressing links to a next router (reference letter R2 in Figure 1); and concurrently

Application/Control Number: 09/936,440

Art Unit: 2633

ill Collifor Indiliber. 09/950,4

or subsequently transmitting said optical data signals to said next router via an optical data link (column 4 line 61 – column 5 line 7), and wherein said method is characterized in that said one ore more optical addressing links is at least partially different from said optical data link (e.g. the addressing link is established via a subcarrier frequency and is therefore at least partially different from the optical data link), transmitting to said transmission source an indication that said optical data signals can be forwarded towards their destination (e.g. "acknowledgement" throughout); receiving said indication at said transmission source; and transmitting said optical data signals towards said destination along said data transmission path.

Regarding claim 47, Fatehi teaches generating new optical addressing signals associated with the next section of a transmission path extending from a current router towards said destination address; transmitting the new optical addressing signals over one or more optical addressing links extending between said current router and a next router; transmitting said optical data signals to said next router via an optical data link extending between said current router and said next router; and wherein said one or more optical addressing links is at least partially different form said optical data link (e.g. the addressing link is established via a subcarrier frequency and is therefore at least partially different from the optical data link), repeating the steps of generating new optical signals, transmitting the new optical addressing signals and transmitting said optical data said next router, until said optical data signals are transmitted to said destination address via subsequent routers located along a transmission path extending towards said destination address (column 4 line 61 – column 5 line 7).

Regarding claim 53, 61 Fatchi teaches that the transmission of at least one of the optical data signals is delayed until the following steps are performed; decoding said optical address

signals; deriving addressing information from the decoded optical addressing signals; and if required, generating another, or using said, optical routing address for further routing of said optical data signals (column 4 line 61 – column 5 line 7).

Regarding claim 56, Fatchi teaches that indication serves as an acknowledgement in a communication signaling process (e.g. "A" signals in Figure 1).

Regarding claim 63, Fatchi teaches that the indication signal is an optical indication signal (column 4 line 61 – column 5 line 7).

Regarding claim 64 and 79, Fatchi teaches that the indication is an electric indication signal (e.g. once it reaches the other side of the source router R1 in Figure 1).

Regarding claim 72, 76-78, 82-24, 86, Fatchi teaches transmitting optical addressing data to a first network element having routing capabilities (reference numeral R1 in Figure 1); assigning an appropriate optical link (reference numeral 101, 104 in Figure 1) connecting said first network element with a second network element (reference numeral R2, R5 in Figure 1) where the assignment is based on the optical addressing data; and transmitting the optical data via the assigned optical link.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 48-52, 54, 57-60, 62, and 67-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fatehi in view of Nir (U.S. Patent No. 6,160,652).

Application/Control Number: 09/936,440

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 48, 67, Fatehi differs from the claimed invention in that Fatehi fails to specifically teach that information extracted from at least one of the optic addressing signals is transmitted at one of two binary illumination states. However, the transmission of binary information is very well known in the art. Furthermore, Nir, in the same field of optical communication, teaches the transmission of optical address signals in different binary illumination states (column 6 lines 23-65). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to employ a binary illumination scheme such as that taught by Nir in order to increase the number of available addresses. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit optical address signals in different binary illumination states.

Regarding claim 49, the Fatehi differs from the claimed invention in that Fatehi fails to specifically teach that at least one of the optical addressing signals is transmitted at a certain illumination level whereas at least one other optical addressing signal is presented by absence of illumination. However, as discussed regarding claim 48, Nir teaches the transmission of optical address signals in different binary illumination states and further teaches that the optical addressing signals is transmitted at a certain illumination level (e.g. "1" being high) whereas at least one other optical addressing signal is presented by absence of illumination (e.g. "0" being low) (column 6 lines 23-65). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to employ a binary illumination scheme such as that taught by Nir in order to increase the number of available addresses. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit optical address signals in different binary illumination states.

Regarding claims 50-52 and 68-71, the combination of references obviate the ability to transmit the optical addressing signals either on the same wavelength, different wavelength, at

Art Unit: 2633

the same intensity or different intensities (see addressing tables of Nir indicating different intensities and different wavelengths; e.g. overlap of addressing signals indicated in 302 of Figure 3 of Fatehi). Furthermore, the applicant's claim to a variety of combinations of wavelengths and intensities indicates that this feature is not critical to the invention at hand. Clearly, one skilled in the art would possess the ability to transmit optical signals at different intensities and wavelengths as desired. As such the combination of references obviates the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 54, 73, Fatchi differs from the claimed invention in that Fatchi fails to specifically teach that the transmission of said at least one of the optical data signals is delayed by allowing said at least one of the optical data signals to pass through an optic fiber of a length corresponding to a desired delay in the transmission. However, Nir teaches this limitation (column 2 line 9-14). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to employ a delay as taught by Nir in order to allow the router to determine the routing action necessary for the data signals while the address signal is processed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to delay the transmission of a data signal via a delay fiber.

Regarding claim 57-60, Fatchi teaches that different protocol can be employed in the transmission of the optical address signals (column 10 lines 45-55). Furthermore, Nir teaches the IP protocol (column 1 lines 28-35). Furthermore, the protocols listed by the applicant are very well known in the art and well within the realm of knowledge of one skilled in the art. As such, one skilled in the art could have selected which protocol or combination of protocols would be most effective in the system of Fatehi. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in

the art at the time the invention was made to employ different protocols as taught by Fatehi and Nir as needed throughout the system of Fatehi.

Regarding claim 62, Fatchi teaches that the indication is transmitted along a path different path than the data transmission path (e.g. reverse path as seen in Figure 1).

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/31/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The cited references continue to read on the claimed invention after consideration of the new amendments.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Agustin Bello whose telephone number is (571) 272-3026. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AB

AGUSTIN BELLO PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 8